





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/856,236 08/21/2001 423 7590 06/20/2003		Johann Klein	H-3497 PCTUS	7 3870	
HENKEL CO 2500 RENAISS STE 200	RPORATION SANCE BLVD		EXAMI WYROZEBSKI LEI		
GULPH MILLS, PA 19406		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1714 DATE MAILED: 06/20/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						\mathcal{A}	9
		Appl	ication No.		Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/8	56,236		KLEIN ET AL.	/	
		Exar	niner		Art Unit		
		Kata	rzyna Wyrozebsl	ki Lee	1714		
	The MAILING DATE of this com				orrespondence ad	ldress	
Period fo	• •						
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM nsions of time may be available under the province SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this experiod for reply specified above is less than the period for reply is specified above, the maximure to reply within the set or extended period for eply received by the Office later than three more department of the period for each patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. irty (30) days, a reply within ti um statutory period will apply reply will, by statute, cause t nths after the mailing date of	no event, however, me statutory minimum and will expire SIX (6) the application to beco	nay a reply be tin of thirty (30) day MONTHS from me ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <u>29 <i>Januar</i></u>	y 2003 .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This acti	on is non-final.				
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in cond closed in accordance with the p ion of Claims					e merits is	
4)🛛	Claim(s) 12-30 is/are pending in	the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	m consideration				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>12-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to	0 .					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or elect	ion requiremen	t.			
Applicat	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by	y the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are: a) <u>□</u> accepted or	b) objected to	by the Exa	miner.		
	Applicant may not request that any	y objection to the draw	ing(s) be held in a	abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a)	☐ approved b)	disappro	ved by the Examin	er.	
	If approved, corrected drawings ar	e required in reply to the	nis Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objecte	ed to by the Examine	r.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign prior	ty under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	⊠ All b) Some * c) None	of:					
	1. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have	e been received	•			
	2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents have	been received	in Applicati	on No		
* (3. Copies of the certified cop application from the In See the attached detailed Office a	iternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage	
14) 🔲 A	Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for domestic prior	ity under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application)).
а) ☐ The translation of the foreign	n language provision	al application h	as been red	eived.		
15) 🔲 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a cla	im for domestic prio	rity under 35 U.	S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.		
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144	· ·		ce of Informal	/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT		
J.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		Office Action St	ummary		Part of Paper No. 9		

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Although applicant has amended the claims, such are still viewed as indefinite for following reasons.

In newly amended claim 28 the applicant is claiming process for making composition comprising molding composition, surface coating, sealant and so on. First, when making the composition process claims involve step on making a composition such as mixing or the like. Second, claims should refer to making one type of composition, especially when recited types of composition are very diverse in its use.

In newly amended claim 30, the applicant claim a composition selected from a surfacing compound, surface coating, molding composition and the like. With respect to the above claim, a composition is comprised of components. Surface coating is an article. At the same time surfacing compound need not be a composition, but compound as is.

In the light of the applicant's response, and updated search, following office action is a second non-final.

Art Unit: 1714

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Art Unit: 1714

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 12-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Richards (US 6,229,970).

The prior art of Richard discloses aqueous dispersion, which is utilized for making board, by molding a sheet.

According to the claims of the prior art of Richards, the composition comprises two main components, which include polymeric binder and gypsum.

Gypsum, according to Richards, can have two sources (col. 5, lines 35-40). One is by waste desulfurization and although the prior art of Richards does not teach the particle size of the gypsum, it would be reasonable to assume, that since gypsum undergoes the same type of desulfurization process as the gypsum of the present invention, the particle size should be within the same range.

According to claim to of Richards, the amount of gypsum is at least 65 % by weight, or as further limited by the spec 65-90 wt %.

According to claim 3 of the prior art of Richards, the binders utilized in the composition include polyvinyl acetate, polyacrylates, polyethylene-polyvinyl chloride, styrene copolymers, acetate/acrylate copolymers. Other polymers are further enabled in col. 7, lines 42-51. The amount of the binder in the composition and according to table in col. 12 is 2.5 wt %. Claims enable one of ordinary skill in the art to use 1-3 wt %.

Additional additives according to claims of Richards, include glass wool (claim 6), clay and vermiculite (claim 5), wherein kaolin is clay.

Gypsum such as that of the prior art of Richards is formed by waste desulfurization process and thereby provides the same type of gypsum as that of the present invention. Utilizing the same process in making gypsum component, renders is obvious that the particle size of the obtained gypsum would also be comparable to that of the present invention.

In the light of the above disclosure, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize the composition of the prior art of Richards and thereby arrive at the present invention. Utilizing the composition of Richards would result in molding composition as claimed in the present claims.

Since the new office action cites entirely new prior art, applicant's arguments are considered moot due to discontinuation of the original prior art against present claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katarzyna Wyrozebski Lee whose telephone number is (703) 306-5875. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 6:30 AM-4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1714

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Lata eigne Phyrocebslei Lee KIWL June 16, 2003